



# Principles of Distributed Computing

## Exercise 9

For this exercise, you may use the following inequalities, based on the *Chernoff bound*.<sup>1</sup>

### Theorem 1 (Chernoff Bounds)

Let  $X := \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$  be the sum of  $N$  independent 0 – 1 random variables  $X_i$ .

#### Bound 1:

$$\Pr \left[ |X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \in O \left( \log n + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X] \log n} \right) \right] > 1 - \frac{1}{n^c} \text{ for a selectable constant } c$$

#### Bound 2:

$$\Pr[X \leq (1 - \delta)\mathbb{E}[X]] \leq e^{-\mathbb{E}[X]\delta^2/2} \text{ for all } 0 < \delta \leq 1$$

## 1 Diameter of the Augmented Grid

Recall the network from the lecture where nodes were arranged in a grid and each node had an additional directed link to an uniformly and independently at random drawn node in the network (i.e.,  $\alpha = 0$ ). In the lecture, a proof of the fact that such a network has diameter  $O(\log n)$  w.h.p. was sketched. We will now fill in the details.

- a) Show that  $O(n/\log n)$  many nodes are enough to guarantee with high probability that at least one of their random links connects to a given set of  $\Omega(\log^2 n)$  nodes. Prove this (i) by direct calculation and (ii) using Chernoff's bound.

**Hint:** Use that  $1 - p \leq e^{-p}$  for any  $p$ .

- b) Suppose for some node set  $S$  we have that  $|S| \in \Omega((\log n)^2) \cap o(n)$  and denote by  $H$  the set of nodes hit by their random links. Prove that  $H$  and together with its grid neighbors contains w.h.p.  $(5 - o(1))|S|$  nodes!

**Hint:** Observe that *independently* of all previous random choices, each new link has at least a certain probability  $p$  of connecting to a node whose complete neighborhood has not been reached yet. Then use Chernoff's bound on the sum of  $|S|$  many variables.

- c) Infer from b) that starting from  $\Omega(\log^2 n)$  nodes, with each hop the number of reached nodes w.h.p. more than doubles, as long as we have still  $O(n/\log n)$  nodes (regardless of the constants in the  $O$ -notation).

**Hint:** Play with the constant  $c$  in the definition of w.h.p. and use the union bound.

- d) Conclude that the diameter of the network is w.h.p. in  $O(\log n)$ .

<sup>1</sup>Chernoff-type and similar probability bounds are very powerful tools that allowed to design a plethora of randomized algorithms that *almost* guarantee success. Frequently this "almost" makes a huge difference in e.g., running time and/or approximation quality.

## 2 Greedy Routing in the Augmented Grid

Consider now  $\alpha = 2$ , i.e., the random link of node  $u$  connects it to node  $w$  with probability  $d(u, w)^{-2} / \sum_{v \in V \setminus \{u\}} d(u, v)^{-2}$ . In the lecture, we saw that with probability  $\Omega(1/\log n)$ , in each step we get to the next phase when we employ greedy routing. Hence, the expected number of steps is in  $O(\log^2 n)$ . Prove that the same bound on the number of steps holds w.h.p.!

## 3 Scale Free Networks

Different studies of the structures of social networks have reported that the degree distribution of the underlying connectivity graphs asymptotically follow a power law, i.e., the probability of a node in a social network to have degree  $k$  is given by:

$$Pr[k] = ck^{-\alpha} \quad \text{where } c \text{ is a normalization constant}$$

- a) Is the diameter of two graphs with the same node-degree distribution equal (not necessarily power law graphs)?
- b) Remember the the rumor game from the lecture: Two players choose a node on the graph, where they start their rumor. The player that is closer to a node in the graph can spread its rumor to the node. Winner is the player who can spread his rumor to more nodes. In a power law network, is it the optimal strategy to always choose the node with the highest degree?