

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



HS 2016 Prof. R. Wattenhofer

Distributed Systems Part II

Exercise Sheet 10

Quiz		
Quiz		

1 Quiz

- a) When performing a concurrent update on a linked list using optimistic synchronization, how does one guarantee that no deadlocks occur?
- b) What properties do (good) hash functions have? List as many as you can!
- c) Under what circumstances is it acceptable to use the remainder function \pmod{x} as hash function for integer keys?
- d) How could one improve the efficiency of finding an item within an already found bucket in a hash map? In what scenarios is this preferable to shrinking the bucket size by growing the hash map? Why do these scenarios only rarely occur?
- e) How would you implement a hash map supporting inserting multiple values per key?

2 Old Exam Question: Fine-Grained Locking

The goal of this exercise is to implement a heap with mutual exclusion. A heap is a binary tree, in which the value of the parent is smaller than the values of its children. The heap is stored in an array, with the root at index 1 and the children of a node i are $LEFT(i) = 2 \cdot i$ and $RIGHT(i) = 2 \cdot i + 1$. The basic functionality is implemented in Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 1 Insert value	Algorithm 2 Remove smallest value	
1:	1:	
2: i = 1	2: $ret = A[1]$	
3:	3:	
4: while $A[i] != null do$	4: $A[1] = \infty$	
5:	5:	
6: if $A[i] > value$ then	6: $i = 1$	
7:	7:	
8: exchange A[i] and value	8: while $A[i] \stackrel{!}{=} null do$	
9:	9:	
10: end if	10: $next = smallestChild(i)$	
11:	11:	
12: $next = smallestChild(i)$	12: exchange A[i] and A[next]	
13:	13:	
14: i = next	14: i = next	
15:	15:	
16: end while	16: end while	
17:	17:	
18: $A[i] = value$	18: $A[i] = \text{null } // \text{ Mark as not used}$	
19:	19:	
	20: return ret	

- a) (4 Points) How would you implement coarse-grained locking? What consequences does this have for concurrent access by multiple processes?
- b) (8 Points) Complete the skeleton of the code in Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2 to implement hand-over-hand locking. You may use LOCK(j) and UNLOCK(j), which lock/unlock the jth element in the array. Not all lines are needed. You may use multiple statements per line.
- c) (5 Points) Is your implementation deadlock free? Argue why deadlocks are not possible or provide an example of a deadlock.
- d) (3 Points) When using hand-over-hand locking the root is always locked at the beginning of each operation. Could you use a different locking mechanism to avoid this contention of the root?