**Network Algorithms** 

# Mutual Exclusion in Networks

Common variable or datastructure:



Needs to be accessed, but not concurrently! How?

Idea: store at central location, e.g., root of spanning tree



Access: send message to root, root processes request, result sent back down the tree.

Idea: store at central location, e.g., root of spanning tree



Access: send message to root, root processes request, result sent back down the tree.

Idea: store at central location, e.g., root of spanning tree



Access: send message to root, root processes request, result sent back down the tree.

Idea that object has «home base»:

- processes get lock from there
- then retrieve object and process locally! Similar to Mobile IP!



Idea that object has «home base»:

- processes get lock from there
- then retrieve object and process locally! Similar to Mobile IP!



Problem?

Idea that object has «home base»:

- processes get lock from there
- then retrieve object and process locally! Similar to Mobile IP!



Problem? Triangle Routing if accessing nodes are close but root is far. Idea: Make accessor responsible for object, i.e. the new «root». How can this be achieved? Idea: Make accessor responsible for object, i.e. the new «root». (1) Make tree directed



Idea: Make accessor responsible for object, i.e. the new «root». (1) Make tree directed



# The Arrow Protocol

Idea: Make accessor responsible for object, i.e. the new «root».

- (1) Make tree directed
- (2) Give object to accessor, new root!



# The Arrow Protocol

Idea: Make accessor responsible for object, i.e. the new «root».

- (1) Make tree directed
- (2) Give object to accessor, new root!
- (3) Invert pointers along the find path in spanning tree!











Perfect: tree automatically rooted at node v now! Distributed queue. Node u can just send it directly to v («out-of-band») when done.

# Arrow

#### Start Find Request at Node u:

```
1: do atomically
```

- u sends "find by u" message to parent node
- 3: u.parent := u
- 4: u.wait := true
- 5: end do

Upon w Receiving "Find by u" Message from Node v:

```
6: do atomically
```

```
7: if w.parent \neq w then
```

w sends "find by u" message to parent

```
9: w.parent := v
```

```
10: else
```

```
11: w.parent := v invert edge!
```

```
12: if not w.wait then
```

send variable to u // w holds var. but does not need it any more

```
14: else
```

15:

// w will send variable to u a.s.a.p.

```
16: end if
17: end if
wait myself?
```

18: end do

#### Upon w Receiving Shared Object:

w.successor := u

```
19: perform operation on shared object
```

```
20: do atomically
```

```
21: w.wait := false
```

- 22: if w.successor  $\neq$  null then
- 23: send variable to w.successor
- 24: w.successor := null

```
25: end if
```

```
26: end do
```

# Arrow

Arrow is correct: find() terminates with message and time complexity D, where D is the diameter of the spanning tree. Completely asynchronous and concurrent environments!

# Proof.

- Each edge {u,v} in the spanning tree is in one of four states:
  - (A) u points to v, no message on the edge, v does not point to u
  - (B) Message on the move from u to v (no pointer along edge)
  - (C) v points to u, no message on edge, u does not point to v
  - (D) Message on the move from v to u (no pointer along edge)
- So message will only travel on static tree!
- And can never traverse an edge twice (in opposite direction).

# QED

### End of Lecture