Discrete Event Systems Petri Nets

Lana Josipović Digital Systems and Design Automation Group dynamo.ethz.ch

ETH Zurich (D-ITET)

December 12, 2024

Most materials from Lothar Thiele and Romain Jacob

Last week in Discrete Event Systems

Temporal Logic

- Verify properties of a finite automaton, for example
	- Can we always reset the automaton?
	- Is every request followed by an acknowledgement?
	- Are both outputs always equivalent?
- Specification of the query in a formula of temporal logic.
- We use a simple form called Computation Tree Logic (CTL).
- Let us start with a minimal set of operators.
	- Any atomic proposition is a CTL formula.
	- CTL formula are constructed by composition of other CTL formula.

There exists other logics (e.g. LTL, CTL*)

Formulation of CTL properties

Based on atomic propositions (ϕ) and quantifiers

- ϕ holds on all paths ϕ holds on at least one path
- $\phi_1 \cup \phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_1 \cup \phi_1 \cup \phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_2 \wedge \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_1$ holds
- $X\phi \longrightarrow \phi$ NeXt $\phi \rightarrow$, ϕ holds on the next state $\overline{F}\phi \longrightarrow \alpha$ **Finally** ϕ », ϕ holds at some state along the path $G\phi \longrightarrow \alpha G$ lobally $\phi \rightarrow \phi$ holds on all states along the path implies that ϕ_2 has to hold eventually

Quantifiers over paths

Path-specific quantifiers

CTL quantifiers work in pairs: we need one of each! $\{A, E\}$ $\{X, F, G, U\}$ ϕ

So… What Is Model Checking Exactly?

This week in Discrete Event Systems

Modeling for Verification

Finite automata

Sequential systems (one state at a time)

Petri nets

Concurrent distributed systems (multiple concurrent events)

Lecture 11 & 12 **Lecture 13 & 14**

Petri Nets: Motivation

In contrast to state machines, state transitions in Petri nets are asynchronous. The ordering of transitions is partly uncoordinated; it is specified by a partial order.

Therefore, Petri nets can be used to model concurrent distributed systems.

Many flavors of Petri nets are in use, e.g.

- Activity charts (UML)
- Data flow graphs, signal flow graphs and marked graphs
- GRAFCET (programming language for programming logic controllers)
- **•** Specialized languages for workflow management and business processes

Invented by Carl Adam Petri in 1962 in his thesis "*Kommunikation mit Automaten*"

Petri Net: Definition

A **Petri net** is a bipartite, directed graph defined by a 4-tuple $(\mathsf{S},\,\mathsf{T},\,\mathsf{F},\,\mathsf{M}_0)$, where

- **S** is a set of places p
- T is a set of transitions t
- F is a set of edges (flow relations) f
- \blacksquare M0 : S \rightarrow N; the initial marking

{t1, t2} $∈$ T ${p1, p2, p3, p4, p5} \in S$ $\{(p1, t1), (p2, t1), (t1, p5), ...\} \in F$

Token Marking

- \blacksquare Each place p_i is marked with a certain number of tokens.
- The initial distribution of the tokens is given by M_0 .
- M(s) denotes the marking of a place s*.*
- **The distribution of tokens on places defines the state of a Petri net.**
- **The dynamics of a Petri net is defined by a token game.**

Token Game of Petri Nets

A marking M activates a transition $t \in T$ if each place p connected through an edge f towards t contains at least one token.

If a transition t is activated by M, a state transition to M' fires (happens) eventually.

Only one transition is fired at any time.

When a transition fires

- it consumes a token from each of its input places,
- it adds a token to each of its output places.

Token Game of Petri Nets

A marking M activates a transition $t \in T$ if each place p connected through an edge f towards t contains at least one token.

If a transition t is activated by M, a state transition to M' fires (happens) eventually.

Only one transition is fired at any time.

When a transition fires

- it consumes a token from each of its input places,
- it adds a token to each of its output places.

Token Game of Petri Nets

Always one transition fires at a time! Consume a token from each input place and add token to each output place.

Any activated transactions can fire.

The evolution of Petri nets is **not deterministic**.

Any activated transactions can fire.

The evolution of Petri nets is **not deterministic**.

Any activated transactions can fire.

The evolution of Petri nets is **not deterministic**.

The evolution of Petri nets is **not deterministic**.

Any activated transactions can fire.

The evolution of Petri nets is **not deterministic**.

Any activated transactions can fire.

Syntax Exercise (1)

- **E.** Is it a valid Petri Net?
- Which transitions are activated?
- What is the marking after firing?

Syntax Exercise (1)

- **E.** Is it a valid Petri Net?
- Which transitions are activated?
- **What is the marking** after firing?

Syntax Exercise (2)

- **E** Is it a valid Petri Net?
- Which transitions are activated?
- What is the marking after firing?

Syntax Exercise (2)

- **E** Is it a valid Petri Net?
- Which transitions are activated?
- What is the marking after firing?

Weighted Edges

- **■** Weights can be associated to edges.
- **Each edge f has an associated weight W(f) (defaults to 1).**
- **EXTA** transition t is activated if each place p connected through an edge f to t contains at least W(f) token.
- **•** When transition t fires, then $W(f)$ token are transferred.

State Transition Function

- Using the previous definitions, we can now define the state transition function δ of a Petri net:
	- Suppose that in a given Petri net (S, T, F, W, M_0) the transition t is activated. Before firing the marking is M.
	- Then after firing t, the new marking is $M' = \delta(M, t)$ with

$$
M'(p) = \begin{cases} M(p) - W(p, t) & \text{if } (p, t) \in F \text{ and } (t, p) \notin F \\ M(p) + W(t, p) & \text{if } (t, p) \in F \text{ and } (p, t) \notin F \\ M(p) - W(p, t) + W(t, p) & \text{if } (t, p) \in F \text{ and } (p, t) \in F \\ M(p) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

• We also write sometimes $M' = M \cdot t$ instead of $M' = \delta(M, t)$.

State Transition Function

- Using the previous definitions, we can now define the state transition function δ of a Petri net:
	- Suppose that in a given Petri net (S, T, F, W, M_0) the transition t is activated. Before firing the marking is M.
	- Then after firing t, the new marking is $M' = \delta(M, t)$ with

$$
M'(p) = \begin{cases} M(p) - W(p, t) & \text{if } (p, t) \in F \text{ and } (t, p) \notin F \\ M(p) + W(t, p) & \text{if } (t, p) \in F \text{ and } (p, t) \notin F \\ M(p) - W(p, t) + W(t, p) & \text{if } (t, p) \in F \text{ and } (p, t) \in F \\ M(p) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

• We also write sometimes $M' = M \cdot t$ instead of $M' = \delta(M, t)$.

Starting from M0, t1 fires: M'(p1) = 2 – 1 = 1, M'(p2) = 1 – 1 = 0, M'(p3) = 3 – 1 = 2, M'(p4) = 1 + 1 = 2, M'(p5) = 1 + 1 = 2 Starting from M0, t2 fires: $M'(p4) = 1 - 1 + 1 = 1$

Finite Capacity Petri Net

- **Each place p can hold maximally** $K(p)$ **token.**
- **•** A transition t is only active if all output places pi of t cannot exceed $K(p_i)$ after firing t.

Finite capacity Petri Nets can be transformed into equivalent infinite capacity Petri Nets (without capacity restrictions)

27 where "equivalent" means "Both nets have the same set of possible firing sequences."

Removing Capacity Constraints

- **•** For each place p with a capacity constraint $K(p)$, add a complementary place p' with initial marking $MO(p') = K(p) - MO(p)$.
- **•** For each outgoing edge $f = (p, t)$, add an edge f' from t to p' with weight W(f).
- **For each incoming edge f = (t, p), add an edge f' from p' to t with weight W(f).**

Removing Capacity Constraints

- **•** For each place p with a capacity constraint $K(p)$, add a complementary place p' with initial marking $MO(p') = K(p) - MO(p)$.
- **•** For each outgoing edge $f = (p, t)$, add an edge f' from t to p' with weight W(f).
- **For each incoming edge f = (t, p), add an edge f' from p' to t with weight W(f).**

Removing Capacity Constraints

- **•** For each place p with a capacity constraint $K(p)$, add a complementary place p' with initial marking $MO(p') = K(p) - MO(p)$.
- **•** For each outgoing edge $f = (p, t)$, add an edge f' from t to p' with weight W(f).
- **For each incoming edge f = (t, p), add an edge f' from p' to t with weight W(f).**

Your turn!

Remove the capacity constraint from place p3.

p2 p3 $K(3)=3$ t2 t3 p1 p4 t4 t1 t5 2

For each place p with a capacity constraint $K(p)$, add a complementary place p' with initial marking $\overline{MO}(p') = K(p) - MO(p)$. For each outgoing edge $f = (p, t)$, add an edge f' from t to p' with weight W(f). For each incoming edge $f = (t, p)$, add an edge f' from p' to t with

weight W(f).

Your turn!

Remove the capacity constraint from place p3.

For each place p with a capacity constraint $K(p)$, add a complementary place p' with initial marking $\overline{MO}(p') = K(p) - MO(p)$. For each outgoing edge $f = (p, t)$, add an edge f' from t to p' with weight W(f).

For each incoming edge $f = (t, p)$, add an edge f' from p' to t with weight W(f).

Your turn!

Remove the capacity constraint from place p3.

For each place p with a capacity constraint $K(p)$, add a complementary place p' with initial marking $\overline{MO}(p') = K(p) - MO(p)$. For each outgoing edge $f = (p, t)$, add an edge f' from t to p' with weight W(f).

For each incoming edge $f = (t, p)$, add an edge f' from p' to t with weight W(f).

Modeling Finite Automata

Finite automata can be represented by a subclass of Petri nets,

where each transition has exactly one incoming edge and one outgoing edge.

Coke vending machine

Coke costs 45 ¢. Customer pays with

- Dime $(10 \text{ }\mathfrak{e})$ or
- **•** Quarter $(25 \text{ }\mathfrak{c})$. Overpaid money is lost.

Concurrent Activities

Finite Automata allow the representation of decisions, but no concurrency.

Petri nets support concurrency with intuitive notations:

Petri Net Languages

- **The Transitions are labeled with (not necessarily distinct) symbols.**
- **EXTED Final state is reached if no transition is activated.**
- **Any sequence of firing generates a string of symbols**, i.e. a word of the language.

- **Transitions are labeled with (not necessarily distinct) symbols.**
- **EXTED Final state is reached if no transition is activated.**
- **Any sequence of firing generates a string of symbols**, i.e. a word of the language.

- **The Transitions are labeled with (not necessarily distinct) symbols.**
- **EXTED Final state is reached if no transition is activated.**
- **Any sequence of firing generates a string of symbols**, i.e. a word of the language.

a fires n times

$$
f_{\rm{max}}
$$

 $L(M_0) = ?$

- **Transitions are labeled with (not necessarily distinct) symbols.**
- **EXTED Final state is reached if no transition is activated.**
- **Any sequence of firing generates a string of symbols**, i.e. a word of the language.

 $L(M_0) = ?$

a fires n times

- **Transitions are labeled with (not necessarily distinct) symbols.**
- **EXTED Final state is reached if no transition is activated.**
- **Any sequence of firing generates a string of symbols**, i.e. a word of the language.

 $L(M_0) = ?$

a fires n times

- **The Transitions are labeled with (not necessarily distinct) symbols.**
- **EXTED Final state is reached if no transition is activated.**
- **Any sequence of firing generates a string of symbols**, i.e. a word of the language.

- **The Transitions are labeled with (not necessarily distinct) symbols.**
- **EXTED Final state is reached if no transition is activated.**
- **Any sequence of firing generates a string of symbols**, i.e. a word of the language.

- **The Transitions are labeled with (not necessarily distinct) symbols.**
- **EXTED Final state is reached if no transition is activated.**
- **Any sequence of firing generates a string of symbols**, i.e. a word of the language.

- **The Transitions are labeled with (not necessarily distinct) symbols.**
- Final state is reached if no transition is activated.
- **Any sequence of firing generates a string of symbols**, i.e. a word of the language.

$$
L(M_0) = \{a^n \, b^m \, c^m \mid n \ge m \ge 0 \}
$$

Every regular language is a Petri net language.

Not every Petri net language is regular.

Exery finite-state machine can be modeled by a Petri net.

Common Extensions

$$
L(M_0) = \{a^n \, b^n \, c^n \mid n \ge 0 \}
$$

45

Reachability

A marking M_n is *reachable* from M_0 iff there exists a sequence of firings

 $\{t_1, t_2, ... t_n\}$ such that $M_n = M_0 \cdot t_1 \cdot t_2 \cdot ... \cdot t_n$

K-Boundedness

A Petri net is *K-bounded if* the number of tokens in every place never exceeds K. The number of states is **finite** in this case.

Safety

1-Boundedness: Every node holds at most 1 token at any time.

Liveness

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

 L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

Liveness

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

A Petri net is free of **deadlocks** iff there is no reachable marking from M_0 in which all transitions are dead.

All transitions are L4-live. Petri net is free of deadlocks.

Liveness

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

Liveness

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

Liveness

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

Liveness

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

Liveness

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

Liveness

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

Liveness

A transition t in a Petri net is

- dead iff t cannot be fired in any firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₁-live iff t can be fired at least once in some firing sequence,
- L₂-live iff, \forall $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, t can be fired at least k times in some firing sequence,
- \blacksquare L₃-live iff t appears infinitely often in some infinite firing sequence,
- **L**₄-live (live) iff t is L₁-live for every marking that is reachable from M_0 .

L_{j+1} -liveness implies L_j -liveness.

A Petri net is free of **deadlocks** iff there is no reachable marking from M_0 in which all transitions are dead.

- t1 is L3-live.
- t2 is L2-live.
- t3 is L1-live.

Petri net is not free of deadlocks.

Analysis Methods

Coverability tree

Enumeration of all reachable markings, limited to small nets if done by explicit enumeration. Reachability analysis similar to that of finite automata can be done if the net is bounded.

Incidence Matrix

Describes the token-flow and state evolution by a set of linear equations. This method allows to derive necessary but not sufficient conditions for reachability.

- Question What token distributions are reachable?
- Problem There might be infinitely many reachable markings, but we must avoid an infinite tree.
- Solution Introduce a special symbol ω to denote an arbitrary number of tokens.

Question What token distributions are reachable?

Problem There might be infinitely many reachable markings, but we must avoid an infinite tree.

Solution **Introduce a special symbol** ω **to denote an arbitrary number of tokens.**

$$
M_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\nt1
\n
$$
M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\ndeadlock
\nt3
\n
$$
M_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Question What token distributions are reachable?

Problem There might be infinitely many reachable markings, but we must avoid an infinite tree.

Solution Introduce a special symbol ω to denote an arbitrary number of tokens.

$$
M_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\nt1
\n
$$
M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\ndeadlock
\n
$$
M_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{6} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
od
$$

65

Question What token distributions are reachable?

Problem There might be infinitely many reachable markings, but we must avoid an infinite tree.

Solution **Introduce a special symbol** ω **to denote an arbitrary number of tokens.**

$$
M_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{6} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
M_{6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Graph: merge equivalent markings into a node

Coverability Tree: Algorithm

Special symbol ω , similar to ∞ : $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$: $\omega > n$; $\omega = \omega \pm n$; $\omega \ge \omega$

Label initial marking M₀ as root and tag it as *new*

while *new* tags exist, pick one, say M

- Remove tag *new* from M;
- If M is identical to an already existing marking, tag it as *old*; **continue**;
- If no transitions are enabled at M, tag it as *deadlock*; continue;
- For each enabled transition t at M do
	- **•** Obtain marking $M' = M \cdot t$
	- If there exists a marking M" on the path from the root to M s.t. M'(p) ≥ M''(p) for each place p and M' \neq M'', replace M'(p) with ω for p where M'(p) $>$ M''(p).
	- Introduce M' as a node, draw an arc with label t from M to M' and tag M' *new*. 67

Results from the Coverability Tree T

- **The net is bounded iff** ω **does not appear in any node label of T. If the coverability tree T does** not contain ω , it is also called reachability tree, as all reachable markings are contained in it.
- The net is safe iff only '0' and '1' appear in the node labels of T.
- A transition t is dead iff it does not appear as an arc in T.
- If M is reachable from M_0 , then there exists a node M' s.t. $M \leq M'$. This is a necessary, but not sufficient condition for reachability.

Example 1: For $M = [0 0 0]$ to be reachable, in the coverability tree, there must be a node M or some node that covers it (e.g., $M' = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$). However, the presence of M' does not guarantee that M is reachable (e.g., in the previous example, $M = [0 0 0]$ is not reachable).

Example 2: For $M = [1 2 0]$ to be reachable, in the coverability tree, there must be a 68 node M or some node that covers it (e.g., $M' = [1 \omega 0]$). However, the presence of M' does not guarantee that M is reachable (e.g., ω includes odd numbers only, or $\omega \geq 3$, ...).

Incidence Matrix

Describe a Petri net with a set of linear equations

A marking M is written as a m \times 1 column vector.

Incidence Matrix

Describe a Petri net with a set of linear equations

- **•** A marking M is written as a m \times 1 column vector.
- **The incidence matrix A describes the token-flow for a Petri net with n transitions and** m places in a m \times n matrix.

 $A_{ij} = W(t_i^-, p_i)$ - $W(p_i^-, t_j^+)$ with $W(p,t) = 0$ or $W(t, p) = 0$ and A_{ij} corresponds to the "gain" of tokens at place p_i when transition t_j fires. when the corresponding edges do not exist

71

State Equation

The firing vector u describes the firing of a transition t. If transition ti fires, then ui consists of all '0', except for the i -th row, where it has a '1':

$$
u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \ u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \ u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
M_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
State Equation

■ The firing vector u describes the firing of a transition t. If transition ti fires, then ui consists of all '0', except for the i -th row, where it has a '1':

$$
u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \ u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \ u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

■ A state transition from M to M' due to firing it is written as

 $M' = \delta(M, \text{ ti}) = M + A \cdot \text{ ui}$

State Equation

■ The firing vector u describes the firing of a transition t. If transition ti fires, then ui consists of all '0', except for the i -th row, where it has a '1':

$$
u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \ u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \ u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

■ A state transition from M to M' due to firing it is written as

 $M' = \delta(M, \text{ ti}) = M + A \cdot \text{ ui}$

■ For example, M1 is obtained from M0 by firing t3:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

State Equation: Reachability

- **•** A marking M_k is reachable from M_0 if there is a sequence σ of k transitions $\{t_{\sigma}[1], t_{\sigma}[2], ..., t_{\sigma}[k]\}$ such that $M_k = M_0 \cdot t_\sigma [1] \cdot t_\sigma [2] \cdot ... \cdot t_\sigma [k]$.
- Expressed with the incidence matrix:

$$
M_k = M_0 + Au_1 + Au_2 + \dots
$$

\nwhich can be rewritten as
\n
$$
M_k - M_0 = \Delta M = Ax
$$

\nNumber of firings of
\n
$$
M_k - M_0 = \Delta M = Ax
$$

\nNumber of firings of
\n
$$
M_k - M_0 = \Delta M = Ax
$$

$$
M_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

1 0 1 \rightarrow t1 fired once \rightarrow t3 fired once

If M_k is reachable from M_0 , eq. (2) must have a solution where all components of x are non-negative integers.

• Is
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable?

 $p₁$ λ , t2 $p2$ $M_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ $np3$ $p4$ t1 2 2 2

• Is
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable?

76

s
\n
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\nreachable? \qquad Posibly yes.
\n
$$
x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\nis a solution to $M_k - M_0 = \Delta M = Ax$ with $\Delta M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$

• Is
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable?

77

s
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable? P y y y x .
\n $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is a solution to $M_k - M_0 = \Delta M = Ax$ with $\Delta M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$

p1 t2 p2 t3 p3 p4 t1 2 2 2

It is actually reachable, e.g., with the sequence $\{t_1, t_3, t_3\}$.

• Is
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable?

s
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable? $Possibly yes.$
 $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is a solution to $M_k - M_0 = \Delta M = Ax$ with $\Delta M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$

It is actually reachable, e.g., with the sequence $\{t_1, t_3, t_3\}$.

• Is
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable?

s
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable? $Possibly yes.$
 $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is a solution to $M_k - M_0 = \Delta M = Ax$ with $\Delta M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$

It is actually reachable, e.g., with the sequence $\{t_1, t_3, t_3\}$.

• Is
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable?

$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable? $\begin{aligned} \text{Posibly yes.} \\ \text{Posibly yes.} \\ \text{A} \end{aligned}$

$$
x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 is a solution to $M_k - M_0 = \Delta M = Ax$ with $\Delta M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$

It is actually reachable, e.g., with the sequence $\{t_1, t_3, t_3\}$.

1 Is
$$
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 reachable? No.
There is no solution for x for $M_k - M_0 = \Delta M = Ax$ with $\Delta M = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ Try solving the system of equations!

Invariants

From the incidence matrix, one can derive some system invariants.

- **A linear combination of transitions that does not change the net's marking**
- **A linear combination of places' marking that sums up to the same amount of tokens**

 $M_k =$ 1 1 1 Sum of tokens in Petri net is always 1 \rightarrow marking $M_k = |1|$ is not reachable

AG p

Your turn to practice! after the break

- 1. Familiarise yourself with the token game
- 2. Use Petri Nets to model simple computation structures (mutual exclusion)
- 3. Analyse Petri Nets with using coverability graphs and incidence matrices

Any feedback? Please fill out this short (anonymous) form!

The form will be available throughout the lecture—feel free to provide feedback at any point.

<https://forms.gle/auDL4KRPvBt15R2q9>

85

Thanks for your attention and see you next week! \odot