

Prof. R. Wattenhofer / Laura Peer

Principles of Distributed Computing Exercise 6: Sample Solution

1 Concurrent Ivy

- **a)** The three nodes are served in the order v_2, v_3, v_1 .
- b) Figure 1 depicts the structure of the tree after the requests have been served. Since v_1 is served last, it is the holder of the token at the end.




Figure 1: Tree after the requests have been served.

2 Tight Ivy

In order to show that the bound of $\log n$ steps on average is tight, we construct a special tree which is defined recursively as follows. The tree \mathcal{T}_0 consists of a single node. The tree \mathcal{T}_i consists of a root together with *i* subtrees, which are $\mathcal{T}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{i-1}$, rooted at the *i* children of the root, see Figure 2.

First, we will show that the number of nodes in the tree \mathcal{T}_i is 2^i . This obviously holds for \mathcal{T}_0 . The induction hypothesis is that it holds for all $\mathcal{T}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{i-1}$. It follows that the number of nodes of \mathcal{T}_i is $n = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^j = 2^i$.

We will show now that the radius of the root of \mathcal{T}_i is $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_i) = i$. Again, this is trivially true for \mathcal{T}_0 . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_i) = 1 + \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_{i-1})$, because \mathcal{T}_{i-1} is the child with the largest radius. Inductively, it follows that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_i) = i$.

By definition, when cutting of the subtree \mathcal{T}_{i-1} from \mathcal{T}_i , the resulting tree is again \mathcal{T}_{i-1} . Let $\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{T}_i \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{i-1}$ denote this cutting operation. For all i > 0, we thus have that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_i) = \mathcal{T}_{i-1}$. We will now start a request at the single node v with a distance of i from the root in \mathcal{T}_i . On its path to

FS 2016




Figure 2: The trees $\mathcal{T}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_3$.

the root, the request passes nodes that are roots of the trees $\mathcal{T}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_i$. All of those nodes become children of the new root v according to the Ivy protocol. The new children lose their largest "child" subtree in the process, thus the children of node v have the structures $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_1), \ldots, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_i) =$ $\mathcal{T}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{i-1}$. Hence, the structure of the tree does not change due to the request and all subsequent requests can also cost i steps. Since $n = 2^i$, each request costs exactly log n.