

Exercise 6

Lecturer: Mohsen Ghaffari

1 Lower Bound for Locally-Minimal Coloring

For a graph $G = (V, E)$, a coloring $\phi : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, Q\}$ is called *locally-minimal* if it is a proper coloring, meaning that no two adjacent vertices v and u have $\phi(v) = \phi(u)$, and moreover, for each node v colored with color $q = \phi(v) \in \{1, 2, \dots, Q\}$, all colors 1 to $q - 1$ are used in the neighborhood of v . That is, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, q - 1\}$, there exists a neighbor u of v such that $\phi(u) = i$.

Exercise

- (1a) In the 4th lecture, we saw a $O(\Delta \log \Delta + \log^* n)$ -round algorithm for computing a $(\Delta + 1)$ -vertex-coloring in any n -node graph with maximum degree Δ . Use this algorithm as a black box to compute a *locally-minimal coloring* in $O(\Delta \log \Delta + \log^* n)$ rounds, in an n -node graph with maximum degree Δ .

Compute a $(\Delta + 1)$ -coloring, which will be used as a schedule-color. Process the colors of the schedule-color one by one, in $\Delta + 1$ iterations, each time picking a locally-minimal color for all nodes with schedule-color $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \Delta + 1\}$.

In the remainder of this exercise, we prove a lower bound of $\Omega(\log n / \log \log n)$ on the round complexity of computing a *locally-minimal coloring*, for some graphs. We note that these graphs have maximum degree $\Delta = \Omega(\log n)$ and hence, this lower bound poses no contradiction with (1a).

For the lower bound, we will use a classic graph-theoretic result of Erdős [Erd59]. Recall that the girth of a graph is the length of its shortest cycle, and the chromatic number of a graph is the smallest number of colors required in any proper coloring of the graph.

Theorem 1 (Erdős [Erd59]) *For any sufficiently large n , there exists an n -node graph G_n^* with girth $g(G_n^*) \geq \frac{\log n}{4 \log \log n}$ and chromatic number $\chi(G_n^*) \geq \frac{\log n}{4 \log \log n}$.*

Exercise

- (1b) Prove that in any locally-minimal coloring $\phi : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, Q\}$ of a tree $T = (V, E)$ with diameter d — i.e., where the distance between any two nodes is at most d — no node v can receive a color $\phi(v) > d + 1$.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that a node v_0 that receives a color $k \geq d + 2$. Then, v_0 must have a neighbor v_1 that has color $k - 1$. Similarly, v_1 must have a neighbor v_2 that has color $k - 2$. Continuing this process, we create a simple path v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots of length $k - 1$ whose vertices have colors $k, k - 1, k - 2, \dots$, respectively. In a tree, any simple path between two vertices is a shortest path between them. Hence, the tree has two nodes at distance $k - 1 \geq d + 1$, which is a contradiction.

- (1c) Suppose towards contradiction that there exists a deterministic algorithm \mathcal{A} that computes a locally-minimal coloring of any n -node graph in at most $\frac{\log n}{8 \log \log n} - 1$ rounds. Prove that when we run \mathcal{A} on the graph G_n^* , it produces a (locally-minimal) coloring with at most $Q = \frac{\log n}{4 \log \log n} - 1$ colors. For this, you should use part (1b) and the fact that G_n^* has girth $g(G_n^*) \geq \frac{\log n}{4 \log \log n}$.

Consider running \mathcal{A} on G_n^* . We claim that no node $v \in G_n^*$ can receive a color $k \geq \frac{\log n}{4 \log \log n}$. The reason is as follows. Now imagine running \mathcal{A} on the subgraph G_v of G_n^* induced by nodes within distance $\frac{\log n}{8 \log \log n} - 1$ of v . The algorithm \mathcal{A} must assign the same color k to v , as when \mathcal{A} is run on G_n^* (why?). However, G_v is a tree with diameter at most $\frac{\log n}{4 \log \log n} - 2$ (why?). Hence, by the property proven in (1b), in any valid locally-minimal coloring, the highest color that node v can receive is at most $Q = \frac{\log n}{4 \log \log n} - 2 + 1$.

(1d) Conclude that any locally-minimal coloring algorithm needs at least $\frac{\log n}{8 \log \log n}$ rounds on some n -node graph.

By (1c), if \mathcal{A} always runs in at most $\frac{\log n}{8 \log \log n} - 1$ rounds, it produces a coloring of G_n^* where each node is colored with a color in $1, 2, \dots, Q$ for $Q = \frac{\log n}{4 \log \log n} - 1$. This is in contradiction with G_n^* having chromatic number $\chi(G_n^*) \geq \frac{\log n}{4 \log \log n}$. Having arrived at the conclusion by assuming that \mathcal{A} always runs in at most $\frac{\log n}{8 \log \log n} - 1$ rounds in any n -node graph, we conclude that algorithm \mathcal{A} needs at least $\frac{\log n}{8 \log \log n}$ rounds on some n -node graph.

References

[Erd59] Paul Erdős. Graph theory and probability. *Canada J. Math*, 11:34G38, 1959.