

## Exercise 6

Lecturer: Mohsen Ghaffari

## Network Decompositions

**Exercise 1:** Explain how given a  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  weak-diameter network decomposition of graph  $G$ , we can deterministically compute a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -coloring of the graph in  $O(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D})$  rounds. Here,  $\Delta$  denotes an upper bound on the maximum degree of the graph, and is given to the algorithm as an input.

Solution: We will color graphs  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{\mathcal{C}}$  one by one, each time considering the coloring assigned to the previous subgraphs. Suppose that vertices of graphs  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_i$  are already colored using colors in  $\{1, 2, \dots, \Delta + 1\}$ . We explain how to color  $G_{i+1}$  in  $O(\mathcal{D})$  rounds. Consider the clusters  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{\ell}$  of  $G_{i+1}$  and notice their two properties: (1) they are mutually non-adjacent, (2) for each cluster  $X_j$ , its vertices are within distance  $\mathcal{D}$  of each other (where distances are according to the base graph  $G$ ). For each cluster  $X_j$ , let node  $v_j \in X_j$  who has the maximum identifier among nodes of  $X_j$  be the leader of  $X_j$ . Then, let  $v_j$  aggregate the topology of the subgraph induced by  $X_j$  as well as the colors assigned to nodes adjacent to  $X_j$  in the previous graphs  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_i$ . This again can be done in  $O(\mathcal{D})$  rounds, thanks to the fact that all the relevant information is within distance  $\mathcal{D} + 1$  of  $v_j$ . Once this information is gathered, node  $v_j$  can compute a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -coloring for vertices of  $X_j$ , while taking into account the colors of neighboring nodes of previous graphs, using a simple greedy procedure. Then, node  $v_j$  can report back these colors to nodes of  $X_j$ . This will happen for all the clusters  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{\ell}$  in parallel, thanks to the fact that they are non-adjacent and thus, their coloring choices does not interfere with each other.

**Exercise 2:** In this exercise, we prove that every  $n$ -node graph  $G$  has an  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  (strong-diameter) network decomposition for  $\mathcal{C} = O(\log n)$  and  $\mathcal{D} = O(\log n)$ . The process that we see that be viewed as a simple and efficient sequential algorithm for computing such a network decomposition.

We determine the blocks  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{\mathcal{C}}$  of network decomposition one by one, in  $\mathcal{C}$  phases. Consider phase  $i$  and the graph  $G \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} G_j)$  remaining after the first  $i - 1$  phases which defined the first  $i - 1$  blocks  $G_1, \dots, G_{i-1}$ . To define the next block, we repeatedly perform a ball carving starting from arbitrary nodes, until all nodes of  $G \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} G_j)$  are removed. This ball carving process works as follows: consider an arbitrary node  $v \in G \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} G_j)$  and consider gradually growing a ball around  $v$ , hop by hop. In the  $k^{\text{th}}$  step, the ball  $B_k(v)$  is simply the set of all nodes within distance  $k$  of  $v$  in the remaining graph. In the very first step that the ball does not grow by more than a 2 factor — i.e., smallest value of  $k$  for which  $|B_{k+1}(v)|/|B_k(v)| \leq 2$  — we stop the ball growing. Then, we carve out the inside of this ball — i.e., all nodes in  $B_k(v)$  — and define them to be a cluster of  $G_i$ . Hence, these nodes are added to  $G_i$ . Moreover, we remove all boundary nodes of this ball — i.e., those of  $B_{k+1}(v) \setminus B_k(v)$  — from the graph considered for the rest of this phase. These nodes will never be put in  $G_i$ . We will bring them back in the next phases, so that they get clustered in the future phases. Then, we repeat a similar ball carving starting at an arbitrary other node  $v'$  in the remaining graph. We continue a similar ball carving until all nodes are removed. This finishes the description of phase  $i$ . Once no node remains in this graph, we move to the next phase. The algorithm terminates once all nodes have been clustered.

Prove the following properties:

1. Each cluster defined in the above process has diameter at most  $O(\log n)$ . In particular, for each ball that we carve, the related radius  $k$  is at most  $O(\log n)$ .

Solution: We show that the ball carving finishes in  $\lceil \log n \rceil$  steps, which implies that the radius is at most  $\lceil \log n \rceil$  as well. First, note that whenever we do not stop the ball growing, the size of a ball doubles, as  $|B_{k+1}(v)| \geq 2 \cdot |B_k(v)|$ . Thus, if we did not stop the ball growing within  $k$  steps, the ball  $B_k(v)$  has size  $|B_k(v)| \geq 2^k$ . After  $k \geq \lceil \log n \rceil + 1$  steps, this would mean that  $B_k(v)$  contained at least  $2^k = 2^{\lceil \log n \rceil + 1} > n$  nodes, a contradiction.

2. In each phase  $i$ , the number of nodes that we cluster —and thus put in  $G_i$ — is at least  $1/2$  of the nodes of  $G \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} G_j)$ .

Solution: Note that every node is either clustered or not, thus we show that the number of nodes included in  $G_i$  is at least as large as the number of nodes that are not clustered. Let us focus on a cluster created by a vertex  $v$ , which has radius  $k$ . By the stopping condition,  $|B_{k+1}(v)|/|B_k(v)| \leq 2$  must hold. This implies  $|B_k(v)| \geq 1/2|B_{k+1}(v)|$  or that at least  $1/2$  of the nodes removed by this step are included in  $G_i$ . As this is true for any ball, it proves the desired statement.

3. Conclude that the process terminates in at most  $O(\log n)$  phases, which means that the network decomposition has at most  $O(\log n)$  blocks.

Solution: In every step we remove at least  $1/2$  of the remaining nodes. Thus after building  $G_i$  at most  $n/2^i$  vertices remain. After  $\lceil \log n \rceil$  phases this means that at most  $n/2^{\lceil \log n \rceil} = 1$  vertex remains which will trivially form the last cluster.

**Exercise 3 (optional):** Develop a deterministic distributed algorithm with round complexity  $\text{poly}(\log n)$  for computing an  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  (strong-diameter) network decomposition in any  $n$ -node network, such that  $\mathcal{C} = O(\log n)$  and  $\mathcal{D} = O(\log n)$ .