1 Dominating Sets in Unit Disk Graphs

a) The greedy algorithm picks consecutively the node that covers the most nodes that are not already dominated. In the Unit Disk Graph model, this algorithm yields an approximation ratio of 6. The proof is similar to the one showing that the MST has bounded degree in Exercise 3. Let’s consider a node \( u \) that is part of the dominating set in the optimal solution. It covers all nodes, denoted by the set \( S_u \), in the circle of radius 1. The greedy algorithm uses at most 6 nodes to cover all the nodes in \( S_u \). The disk of \( u \) is therefore partitioned into 6 equal pieces (see Figure 1). In one such piece the greedy algorithm chooses at most one node to cover nodes in \( S_u \). All nodes inside one such piece form a clique as the maximum distance between any two points is at most 1. Thus, all of them can be covered by one node. Note that it is possible that the greedy algorithm picks more than one node in a piece. These additional nodes can however be assigned to another node in the optimal dominating set.

![Figure 1: The greedy algorithm picks at most one node in the gray piece.](image)

b) Surprisingly, this approach does not work. Consider the situation depicted in Figure 2. Nodes \( u \) and \( v \) have a distance of exactly one and the link \((u, v)\) is required to connect two clusters of nodes. That is, there are no other nodes in between \( u \) and \( v \). Reducing the grid size has no influence on the fact that the link \((u, v)\) has to be part of any CDS. We now have to show, that the grid algorithm does not select nodes \( u \) and/or \( v \) for the constructed DS. Assume there is another node \( w \) in the same cell as \( u \). Furthermore, \( d(u, v) < d(w, v) \) and \( w \) is closer to the cell center than \( u \). The algorithm will therefore not choose node \( u \) but \( w \) as dominator for this cell. No matter how small cell sizes are chosen there may always be a node \( w \) preventing the selection of \( u \) or \( v \).

Please note that, it is possible to solve the problem if the positions of all nodes are known and the grid can be placed and spaced to make sure all critical nodes are in the center of their respective cells. However, with this global knowledge the problem of creating a connected dominating set becomes trivial and using the decentralized grid algorithm makes no more sense.
Connectivity Models

a) Australia’s outback is hardly obstructed, very flat, and the radio signals can freely propagate in space. In such an environment, the use of more idealistic connectivity models such as the Quasi Unit Disk Graph might be justified. In contrast, in an office building, there are many walls and obstacles influencing the nodes’ connectivity. Here, it is advisable to study more general models such as the Bounded Independence Graph.

b) Recall that the Unit Disk Graph is defined for the Euclidean space and two nodes are connected if and only if their distance is at most \(c\) (\(c = 1\) after normalization). Let \(d(\cdot, \cdot)\) denote the normalized distance between two points. In our example, it holds that \(d(v_2, v_5) = 1 > d(v_3, v_6) = 1/5\), but \(\{v_2, v_5\} \in E\) and \(\{v_3, v_6\} \notin E\). Therefore, this connectivity graph cannot be modeled as a UDG in the Euclidean plane.

c) The maximal QUDG \(\rho\) is given by the ratio of the shortest distance between two non-adjacent nodes divided by the largest edge. In G that is, \(1/5 - \epsilon\) for some arbitrarily small \(\epsilon > 0\).

d) The connectivity set \(E\) defines a UDG. A possible Euclidean representation is shown in Figure 3.

e) The graph defined by \(E\) is indeed a BIG, as we will see in the following. Observe that for nodes \(v_1, v_3, v_6\) and \(v_7\), the maximum independent set (MaxIS) in their 1-hop neighborhood is one. Nodes \(v_2, v_4\) and \(v_5\) have an independent set of size 2 in their 1-neighborhood. The MaxIS of the \(\geq 2\)-neighborhood is 2—for all nodes. This clearly fulfills the BIG property, as there is essentially a constant upper bound of 2 on any MaxIS.