

A Typical Sensor Node: TinyNode 584

[Shockfish SA, The Sensor Network Museum]

- TI MSP430F1611 microcontroller @ 8 MHz
- 10k SRAM, 48k flash (code), 512k serial storage
- 868 MHz Xemics XE1205 multi channel radio
- Up to 115 kbps data rate, 200m outdoor range

	Current Draw	Power Consumption	
uC sleep with timer on	6.5 uA	0.0195 mW	
uC active, radio off	2.1 mA	6.3 mW	
uC active, radio idle listening	16 mA	48 mW	
uC active, radio TX/RX at +12dBm	62 mA	186 mW	
Max. Power (uC active, radio TX/RX at +12dBm + flash write)	76.9 mA	230.7mW	





After Deployment



Visuals anyone?



Overview

- Introduction
- **Application Examples**
- **Related Areas**
- **Course Overview**
- Literature
- For CS Students: Wireless Communication Basics •
- For EE Students: Network Algorithms Overview



Ad Hoc Networks

• Laptops, PDA's, cars, soldiers

- All-to-all routing
- Often with mobility (MANET's)
- Trust/Security an issue
 - No central coordinator
- Maybe high bandwidth •

There is no strict separation; more variants such as mesh or sensor/actor networks exist

• Tiny nodes: 4 MHz, 32 kB, ...

- Broadcast/Echo from/to sink
- Usually no mobility - but link failures

vs. Sensor Networks

- One administrative control
- Long lifetime \rightarrow Energy

Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer –

Animal Monitoring (Great Duck Island)



- 1. Biologists put sensors in underground nests of storm petrel
- 2. And on 10cm stilts
- 3. Devices record data about birds
- 4. Transmit to research station
- 5. And from there via satellite to lab



Environmental Monitoring (Redwood Tree)



- Microclimate in a tree
- 10km less cables on a tree; easier to set up
- Sensor Network = The New Microscope?



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks - Roger Wattenhofer - 1/9

Smart Spaces (Car Parking)

- The good: Guide cars towards empty spots
- The bad: Check which cars do not have any time remaining
- The ugly: Meter running out: take picture and send fine



[Matthias Grossglauser, EPFL & Nokia Research]

Vehicle Tracking

- Sensor nodes (equipped with magnetometers) are packaged, and dropped from fully autonomous GPS controlled "toy" air plane
- Nodes know dropping order, and use that for initial position guess
- Nodes then track vehicles (trucks mostly)



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/10

Structural Health Monitoring (Bridge)



Virtual Fence (CSIRO Australia)

- Download the fence to the cows. Today stay here, tomorrow go somewhere else.
- When a cow strays towards the co-ordinates, software running on the collar triggers a stimulus chosen to scare the cow away, a sound followed by an electric shock; this is the "virtual" fence. The software also "herds" the cows when the position of the virtual fence is moved.
- If you just want to make sure that cows stay together, GPS is not really needed...



Cows learn and need not to be shocked later... Moo!



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/13

Related Areas



Economic Forecast

[Jean-Pierre Hubaux, EPFL]

- Industrial Monitoring (35% 45%)
 - Monitor and control production chain
 - Storage management
 - Monitor and control distribution
- Building Monitoring and Control (20 30%)
 - Alarms (fire, intrusion etc.)
 - Access control
- Home Automation (15 25%)
 - Energy management (light, heating, AC etc.)
 - Remote control of appliances
- Automated Meter Reading (10-20%)
 - Water meter, electricity meter, etc.
- Environmental Monitoring (5%)
 - Agriculture
 - Wildlife monitoring

millions wireless sensors sold



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/14

RFID Systems

 Fundamental difference between ad hoc/sensor networks and RFID: In RFID there is always the distinction between the passive tags/transponders (tiny/flat), and the reader (bulky/big).



- There is another form of tag, the so-called active tag, which has its own internal power source that is used to power the integrated circuits and to broadcast the signal to the reader. An active tag is similar to a sensor node.
- More types are available, e.g. the semipassive tag, where the battery is not used for transmission (but only for computing)



Wearable Computing / Ubiquitous Computing

- Tiny embedded "computers"
- UbiComp: Microsoft's Doll
- I refer to my colleague Gerhard Troester and his lectures & seminars



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/17

Wireless & Mobile Examples

- Up-to-date localized information
 - Мар
 - Pull/Push
- Ticketing
- Etc.



[Asus PDA, iPhone, Blackberry, Cybiko]

Wireless and/or Mobile

- Aspects of mobility
 - User mobility: users communicate "anytime, anywhere, with anyone" (example: read/write email on web browser)
 - Device portability: devices can be connected anytime, anywhere to the network
- Wireless vs. mobile Examples
 Stationary (



- Stationary computer
- Notebook in a hotel
- Historic buildings; last mile
 - Personal Digital Assistant (PDA)
- The demand for mobile communication creates the need for integration of wireless networks and existing fixed networks
 - Local area networks: standardization of IEEE 802.11 or HIPERLAN
 - Wide area networks: GSM and ISDN
 - Internet: Mobile IP extension of the Internet protocol IP



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/18

General Trend: A computer in 10 years?

- Advances in technology
 - More computing power in smaller devices
 - Flat, lightweight displays with low power consumption
 - New user interfaces due to small dimensions
 - More bandwidth (per second? per space?)
 - Multiple wireless techniques
- Technology in the background
 - Device location awareness: computers adapt to their environment
 - User location awareness: computers recognize the location of the user and react appropriately (call forwarding)
- "Computers" evolve
 - Small, cheap, portable, replaceable
 - Integration or disintegration?



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/19

Physical Layer: Wireless Frequencies



Course Overview



Frequencies and Regulations

 ITU-R holds auctions for new frequencies, manages frequency bands worldwide (WRC, World Radio Conferences)

	Europe (CEPT/ETSI)	USA (FCC)	Japan
Mobile	NMT 453-457MHz,	AMPS, TDMA, CDMA	PDC
phones	463-467 MHz	824-849 MHz,	810-826 MHz,
	GSM 890-915 MHz,	869-894 MHz	940-956 MHz,
	935-960 MHz,	TDMA, CDMA, GSM	1429-1465 MHz,
	1710-1785 MHz,	1850-1910 MHz,	1477-1513 MHz
	1805-1880 MHz	1930-1990 MHz	
Cordless	CT1+ 885-887 MHz,	PACS 1850-1910 MHz,	PHS
telephones	930-932 MHz	1930-1990 MHz	1895-1918 MHz
	CT2	PACS-UB 1910-1930 MHz	JCT
	864-868 MHz		254-380 MHz
	DECT		
	1880-1900 MHz		
Wireless	IEEE 802.11	IEEE 802.11	IEEE 802.11
LANs	2400-2483 MHz	2400-2483 MHz	2471-2497 MHz
	HIPERLAN 1		
	5176-5270 MHz		



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/22

Course Overview: Lecture and Exercises

- Maximum possible spectrum of theory and practice
- New area, more open than closed questions
- Each week, exactly one topic (chapter)
- General ideas, concepts, algorithms, impossibility results, etc.
 - Most of these are applicable in other contexts
 - In other words, almost no protocols
- Two types of exercises: theory/paper, practice/lab
- Assistants: Philipp Sommer, Johannes Schneider
- www.disco.ethz.ch \rightarrow courses



For CS Students: Wireless Communication Basics

- Brief history of communication
- Frequencies
- Signals
- Antennas
- Signal Propagation
- Modulation



Going Wireless

- Guglielmo Marconi demonstrates first wireless telegraph in 1896.
- A wireless telegraph service is established between France and England in 1898.
- 1901 first wireless communication accross the atlantic
- First amplitude modulation (AM) radio transmission in 1906
- Edwin Howard Armstrong invents
 frequency modulation (FM) radio in 1935
- Digital Audio Broadcasting (DAB) since late 90's



A brief history of communication

- Electric telegraph invented in 1837 by Samuel Morse
- First long distance transmission between Washington, D.C. and Baltimore, Maryland in 1844: «What hath God wrought»
- Invention of the telephone by Alexander Graham Bell in 1875







Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/30

Wireless Telephony

- · First experiments with mobile phone systems in 1950s
- Fully automated mobile phone system for vehicles launched in Sweden around 1960
- First generation (1G): cellular networks in Japan (1979)
- Second generation (2G): GSM introduced in 1990s Digital network, SMS, roaming
- Third generation (3G): high-speed data networks (UMTS)





Block Diagram of a Wireless Communication System

٠

Modulation and demodulation



Digital modulation

- Modulation of digital signals known as Shift Keying
- Amplitude Shift Keying (ASK):
 - very simple
 - low bandwidth requirements
 - very susceptible to interference
- Frequency Shift Keying (FSK):
 - needs larger bandwidth
- Phase Shift Keying (PSK):
 - more complex
 - robust against interference



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/37

Modulation Combinations

- Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (QAM)
- combines amplitude and phase modulation
- it is possible to code n bits using one symbol
- 2ⁿ discrete levels, n=2 identical to QPSK
- bit error rate increases with n, but less errors compared to comparable PSK schemes
- Example: 16-QAM (4 bits = 1 symbol)
- Symbols 0011 and 0001 have the same phase, but different amplitude. 0000 and 1000 have different phase, but same amplitude.
- Used in 9600 bit/s modems



Advanced Phase Shift Keying

- BPSK (Binary Phase Shift Keying):
 - bit value 0: sine wave
 - bit value 1: inverted sine wave
 - Robust, low spectral efficiency
 - Example: satellite systems
- QPSK (Quadrature Phase Shift Keying):
 - 2 bits coded as one symbol
 - symbol determines shift of sine wave
 - needs less bandwidth compared to BPSK
 - more complex



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/38

Ultra-Wideband (UWB)

- An example of a new physical paradigm.
- · Discard the usual dedicated frequency band paradigm.
- Instead share a large spectrum (about 1-10 GHz).
- Modulation: Often pulse-based systems. Use extremely short duration pulses (sub-nanosecond) instead of continuous waves to transmit information. Depending on application 1M-2G pulses/second





Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/39

UWB Modulation



Antennas: isotropic radiator



antenna proportional to wavelength

•

phones (e.g., radio coverage of a valley)



Antennas: diversity

- Grouping of 2 or more antennas
 - multi-element antenna arrays
- Antenna diversity
 - switched diversity, selection diversity
 - receiver chooses antenna with largest output
 - diversity combining
 - combine output power to produce gain
 - cophasing needed to avoid cancellation



• Smart antenna: beam-forming, MIMO, etc.

Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/45

Signal propagation, more accurate models

- Free space propagation $P_r = {P_s G_s G_r \lambda^2 \over (4\pi)^2 d^2 L}$
- Two-ray ground propagation $P_r = {P_s G_s G_r h_s^2 h_r^2 \over d^4}$
- P_s , P_r : Power of radio signal of sender resp. receiver
- G_s, G_r: Antenna gain of sender resp. receiver (how bad is antenna)
- *d*: Distance between sender and receiver
- L: System loss factor
- λ : Wavelength of signal in meters
- h_s , h_r : Antenna height above ground of sender resp. receiver
- Plus, in practice, received power is not constant ("fading")

Signal propagation ranges, a simplified model

- Propagation in free space always like light (straight line)
- Transmission range
 - communication possible
 - low error rate
- Detection range
 - detection of the signal possible
 - no communication possible
- Interference range
 - signal may not be detected
 - signal adds to the background noise



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/46

Attenuation by distance

- Attenuation [dB] = 10 log₁₀ (transmitted power / received power)
- Example: factor 2 loss = 10 log₁₀ 2 ≈ 3 dB
- In theory/vacuum (and for short distances), receiving power is proportional to 1/d², where d is the distance.
- In practice (for long distances), receiving power is proportional to 1/d^α, α = 4...6. We call α the path loss exponent.
- Example: Short distance, what is the attenuation between 10 and 100 meters distance?
 Factor 100 (=100²/10²) loss = 20 dB



Attenuation by objects

- Shadowing (3-30 dB):
 - textile (3 dB)
 - concrete walls (13-20 dB)
 - floors (20-30 dB)
- reflection at large obstacles
- scattering at small obstacles
- diffraction at edges
- fading (frequency dependent)







shadowing





scattering

diffraction

Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/49

Effects of mobility

- Channel characteristics change over time and location •
 - signal paths change
 - different delay variations of different signal parts
 - different phases of signal parts
- quick changes in power received (short term fading) •
- Additional changes in •
 - distance to sender
 - obstacles further away
- slow changes in average power received (long term fading)
- Doppler shift: Random frequency modulation ٠



Multipath propagation

Signal can take many different paths between sender and receiver due to reflection, scattering, diffraction



- Time dispersion: signal is dispersed over time
- Interference with "neighbor" symbols: Inter Symbol Interference (ISI)
- The signal reaches a receiver directly and phase shifted
- Distorted signal depending on the phases of the different parts. •

Real World Examples







Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks - Roger Wattenhofer - 1/50

For EE Students: Network Algorithms Basics

- A Motivating Example: Steiner Tree
- Complexity and Hardness
- Approximation Algorithms
- Minimum Spanning Tree



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 1/53

Steiner Tree Facts

- It is known that Steiner points must have a degree of 3, and that the three edges incident to such a point must form three 120 degree angles.
- It follows that the maximum number of Steiner points that a Steiner tree can have is n - 2, where n is the initial number of given points.
- How can we compute the optimal Steiner tree?

Connect with Minimal Cost

• Given *n* points in the plane, for instance *n*-1 sensors and one sink.

S1

S2

D

- · Can you connect all these points at minimum cost?
- Example: Connect the four nodes A, B, C, D, using a wire of minimum cost (minimum total length)
- Optimal Solution: A spanning tree known as Steiner tree, with two additional helper points (Steiner points)
- Jakob Steiner: Swiss mathematician, 1796 – 1863

Time Complexity

- The time complexity of an algorithm quantifies the amount of time taken by an algorithm to run as a function of the size of the input to the problem, e.g. time = $5n^3 + 17n 2$.
- Time complexity is commonly computed by simply counting the number of elementary operations (such as additions or multiplications) performed by the algorithm, where an elementary operation takes a one time unit.
- Often multiplicative constants and lower order terms are suppressed, and the answer is given in "big Oh" notation, e.g. $5n^3 + 17n 2 = O(n^3)$.
- Since an algorithm may take a different amount of time even on inputs of the same size, one commonly focuses on the so-called worst-case time complexity, the maximum amount of time taken on any (including the worst) input of size *n*.

Hardness

- A time complexity which is polynomial in the input is usually considered feasible, e.g. $O(n^{1000})$ is okay.
- An algorithm becomes problematic if its time complexity is not polynomial anymore, e.g. exponential such as O(1.1ⁿ).
- In this example the first function may look scarier than the second, but for large enough *n*, the second function is much larger (well, after all it grows exponentially).
- In layman's terms, if a problem is NP-hard, then it is a "difficult" problem. For problems that are NP-hard it is generally believed that there is no polynomial time algorithm which can solve the problem. However, this is just a conjecture. If you can proof it, you get very famous.
- The Steiner tree problem is known to be NP-hard.

What can one do if a problem is hard?

- If you are lazy, you may take it as a excuse to propose a heuristic (an algorithm without quality guarantees).
- Another way to go is to propose so-called fixed parameter algorithms. Some algorithms with exponential running time are still much better than others, e.g. O(1.1ⁿ) is much faster than O(nⁿ) or O(n!)
- A very popular alternative is to propose so-called approximation algorithms. These are polynomial-time algorithms which cannot guarantee to find the optimum, but they can guarantee to find a solution which is at most a factor *c* worse than the (unknown) optimum.
- Is there an approximation algorithm for the Steiner tree problem?

Steiner Tree Approximations?

- What if you connect all the nodes directly to some arbitrarily chosen (root) node?
 - How much worse than the optimum solution will this be?
- Alternatively we might connect the nodes with the minimum cost spanning tree that does not use additional (Steiner) points. Such a spanning tree is known as the Minimum Spanning Tree (MST).
 - How can we compute such an MST?
 - How much worse than the optimum solution will this be?
- Indeed, for the Euclidean Steiner Tree problem there is even a polynomial-time approximation scheme (PTAS). This means that one can approximate the optimal solution up to a factor 1+ ϵ , for an arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$. However, the running time will grow as a function of ϵ .

Minimum Spanning Tree

- There are several simple algorithms that can compute the MST quickly. For instance the following:
- Choose the closest two nodes which are not yet connected (through a path) and connect them, until all nodes are connected by a spanning tree.
- Exercise: Proof that this is optimal.