Discrete Event Systems
Solution to Exercise Sheet 7

1 Probability of Arrival

The proof is similar to the one about the expected hitting time $h_{ij}$ (see script). We express $f_{ij}$ as a condition probability that depends on the result of the first step in the Markov chain. Recall that the random variable $T_{ij}$ is the hitting time, that is, the number of steps from $i$ to $j$. We get $\Pr[T_{ij} < \infty \mid X_1 = k] = \Pr[T_{kj} < \infty] = f_{kj}$ for $k \neq j$ and $\Pr[T_{ij} < \infty \mid X_1 = j] = 1$. We can therefore write $f_{ij}$ as follows.

$$f_{ij} = \Pr[T_{ij} < \infty] = \sum_{k \in S} \Pr[T_{ij} < \infty \mid X_1 = k] \cdot p_{ik} = p_{ij} \cdot \Pr[T_{ij} < \infty \mid X_1 = j] + \sum_{k \neq j} \Pr[T_{ij} < \infty \mid X_1 = k] \cdot p_{ik}$$

2 Basketball [Exam]

a) This exercise is a good example to illustrate that most exercises allow several differing solutions.

Variant A. Let $X$ be a random variable for the number of shots scored by Mario and $X_i$ an indicator variable that the $i$-th shot scores. Then obviously $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ when $n$ is the number of shots performed. The probability that the $i$-th attempt scores is $p$ as given in the exercise. Hence, we can use linearity of expectation to obtain the expectation of $X$.

$$E[X] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p = n \cdot p$$

We want Mario to score $m$ times.

$$E[X] = n \cdot p = m \iff n = \frac{m}{p}$$

Hence, Mario needs $\frac{m}{p}$ attempts to score $m$ times. After these $\frac{m}{p}$ attempts, Mario has scored an expected $m$ hits and he has missed expected $\frac{m}{p} - m$ times. Hence, he does an expected $10(\frac{m}{p} - m)$ push-ups in the game.

Variant B. We define a random variable $X$ that counts the number of attempts until we miss for the first time. $X$ is distributed as follows:

$$\Pr[X = 1] = (1 - p)$$
$$\Pr[X = 2] = p(1 - p)$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\Pr[X = i] = p^{i-1}(1 - p)$$
We say that \( X \) is geometrically distributed with parameter \((1 - p)\) or write \( X \sim \text{Geom}(1 - p)\). The expected value of a geometrically distributed random variable with parameter \( \alpha \) is \( \frac{1}{\alpha} \).

\[
E[X] = \frac{1}{1 - p}.
\]

Again, due to the linearity of the expected value we may think of the game as Mario scoring \( E[X] - 1 \) hits, missing once, scoring the next \( E[X] - 1 \) hits, missing again, and so forth until he scored a total of \( m \) hits. The question of how often Mario misses now translates to the question of how many series of \( E[X] - 1 \) successful attempts he needs in order to score \( m \) times, and we get \( 10 \cdot \frac{m}{p} - m \) push-ups in expectation.

**Variant C (Markov Chain).** The following Markov chain models Mario’s game.

In a state \( q_i \), Mario has scored \( i \) hits. To learn the expected number of attempts until Mario has scored \( m \) hits we can simply compute the hitting time \( h_{0m} \) from \( q_0 \) to \( q_m \).

\[
h_{0m} = 1 + \sum_{k \neq m} p_{0k} h_{km} = 1 + p_{00} h_{0m} + p_{01} h_{1m}
\]

\[
h_{0m} = \frac{1 + p_{01} h_{1m}}{1 - p_{00}} = \frac{1 + ph_{1m}}{p} = \frac{1}{p} + h_{1m}
\]

\[
h_{1m} = 1 + p_{11} h_{1m} + p_{12} h_{2m} \iff h_{1m} = \frac{1}{p} + h_{2m}
\]

\[
h_{0m} = \frac{1}{p} + h_{1m} = \frac{2}{p} + h_{2m} = \ldots = \frac{m}{p} + h_{mm} = \frac{m}{p}
\]

By subtracting the \( m \) successful attempts, we get an expected \( \frac{2m}{p} - m \) misses and hence Mario does \( 10 \cdot \frac{2m}{p} - m \) push-ups in expectation.

(b) Each sequence of \( (\text{at most } m) \) throws where Luigi tries to score \( m \) times is called a round. A non-successful round is followed by push-ups.

(i) Let \( X \) be a random variable for the number of rounds that Luigi has to perform until he hits \( m \) shots straight. The probability that Luigi scores \( m \) consecutive shots is \( p^m \). Observe that \( X \) is geometrically distributed with parameter \( p^m \) (cf. Exercise 2a variant B) and hence

\[
E[X] = \frac{1}{p^m}.
\]

In the last round (which was successful), Luigi does not do any push-ups, hence we expect him to do \( 10 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{p^m} - 1 \right) \) push-ups.

(ii) If we are also interested in the number of throws that Luigi has to do in total, we proceed as follows. Let \( N \) be a random variable for the total number of throws and \( T_i \) be a random variable for the number of throws in round \( i \). Then we obviously have

\[
N = \sum_{i=1}^{X} T_i
\]

The expectation of \( N \) calculates as follows.

\[
E[N] = E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{X} T_i \right]
\]
Unfortunately, this is not the same as $\mathbf{E}[X] \cdot \mathbf{E}[T_i]$ because $T_i$ is not independent of $X$. We know that in the last round, we have $T_i = m$ and in all other rounds we have $T_i < m$. Hence, we define another random variable $T'$ for the number of throws in an unsuccessful round (i.e. rounds 1 to $X - 1$). Note that $T'$ and $X$ are independent now. Hence we can decompose the expectation as follows.

\[
\mathbf{E}[N] = \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{X-1} T'\right] + \mathbf{E}[T_X] \quad \text{(Linearity of expectation)}
\]

\[
= \mathbf{E}[X - 1] \cdot \mathbf{E}[T'] + m
\]

\[
= (\mathbf{E}[X] - 1) \cdot \mathbf{E}[T'] + m
\]

Note that $T'$ is only defined for $T' < m$. We could calculate its expectation explicitly but for matters of brevity we shall derive an upper bound on $\mathbf{E}[T']$ by ignoring the restriction $T' < m$. We have $\Pr[T' = i] = p^{i-1} \cdot (1 - p)$, that is $T' \sim \text{Geom}(1 - p)$ and hence $\mathbf{E}[T'] \leq \frac{1}{1 - p}$. Thus we get for the expectation of $N$

\[
\mathbf{E}[N] \leq m + \left(\frac{1}{p^m} - 1\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1 - p}.
\]

c) The following Markov chain models Trudy’s game.

\[
\begin{align*}
q_S & \quad p & q_1 \quad p & q_2 \quad p & q_3 \quad 1 \\
1 - p & \quad 1 - p & \quad 1 - p & \quad 1 - p & \\
q_M & \quad 1 - p & \quad 1 - p & \quad 1 - p & \\
q_G & \quad 1 & \quad 1 & \quad 1 & \quad 1
\end{align*}
\]

In state $q_i$ Trudy has scored $i$ hits in a row, in $q_M$ she has missed once, in $q_G$ she has missed twice in a row and gives up.

(i) We determine the probability $f_{S3}$ of reaching the accepting state $q_3$ from the start state $q_S$.

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{S3} &= p \cdot f_{13} + (1 - p) \cdot f_{M3} \\
f_{13} &= p \cdot f_{23} + (1 - p) \cdot f_{M3} \\
f_{23} &= p \cdot f_{13} + (1 - p) \cdot f_{M3} \\
f_{M3} &= p \cdot f_{13}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{13} &= p^2 + (1 - p)p^2 \cdot f_{13} + (1 - p)p \cdot f_{13} \\
&= \frac{p^2}{1 + p^3 - p} = 0.4
\end{align*}
\]
\[ f_{s3} = p \cdot \frac{p^2}{1 + p^3 - p} + (1 - p)p \cdot \frac{p^2}{1 + p^3 - p} = \frac{2p^3 - p^4}{1 + p^3 - p} = 0.3 \]

The probability that Trudy scores 3 times in a row is 0.3. The probability that she gives up is 0.7. This is because \( q_3 \) and \( q_G \) are the only absorbing states, i.e., all other states have probability mass of 0 in the steady state.

(ii) To get the number of push-ups we define a random variable \( Z \) that counts how often the system passes state \( q_M \) before either ending up in state \( q_3 \) or in state \( q_G \). E.g., the probability \( P[Z = 1] \) of passing \( q_M \) exactly once equals the probability of getting from \( q_S \) to \( q_M \) without being absorbed by \( q_3 \) and then ending up directly in \( q_G \) or \( q_3 \), i.e. \( P[Z = 1] = P_{SM} \cdot (P_{MG} + P_{M3}) \) where \( P_{ij} \) is the probability of getting from \( q_i \) to \( q_j \) without passing \( q_M \) on the way. \( Z \) has the following probability distribution:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Pr[Z = 0] &= 1 - P_{SM} \\
\Pr[Z = 1] &= P_{SM} \cdot (P_{MG} + P_{M3}) \\
\Pr[Z = 2] &= P_{SM} \cdot P_{MM} \cdot (P_{MG} + P_{M3}) \\
\Pr[Z = 3] &= P_{SM} \cdot P_{M3}^2 \cdot (P_{MG} + P_{M3}) \\
&\vdots \\
\Pr[Z = i] &= P_{SM} \cdot P_{M3}^{i-1} \cdot (P_{MG} + P_{M3})
\end{align*}
\]

The probability of passing \( q_M \) exactly \( i \) times equals the probability of getting from \( q_S \) to \( q_M \) and from \( q_M \) to \( q_M \) again \( i - 1 \) times and then ending up directly in \( q_G \) or \( q_3 \). As the Markov chain is not too complicated we can compute the needed \( P_{ij} \) rather easily and get \( P_{SM} = 1 - p^3 \), \( P_{MM} = p - p^3 \), \( P_{MG} = 1 - p \), and \( P_{M3} = p^3 \).

The expected number of misses is

\[
E[Z] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \cdot \Pr[Z = i] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \cdot P_{SM} \cdot P_{M3}^{i-1} \cdot (P_{MG} + P_{M3})
\]

\[
= P_{SM} \cdot (P_{MG} + P_{M3}) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \cdot P_{M3}^{i-1}
\]

\[
= \frac{P_{SM} \cdot (P_{MG} + P_{M3})}{(1 - P_{MM})^2}
\]

\[
= \frac{(1 - p^3) \cdot (1 - p + p^3)}{(1 - p + p^3)^2} = \frac{1 - p^3}{1 - p + p^3}
\]

\[
= \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}}{1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8}} = \frac{7}{5} = 1.4.
\]

Hence, Trudy does 14 push-ups in expectation.

**Variant.** We already know that Trudy gives up with a probability 0.7. Each time Trudy is in \( q_M \) she gets to \( q_G \) with probability \( 1 - p \). Hence it must hold that \( E[Z] \cdot (1 - p) = 0.7 \). This yields for the expected number of push-ups

\[
10 \cdot E[Z] \cdot (1 - p) = 10 \cdot 0.7 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - p} = 10 \cdot 2 \cdot 0.7 = 14.
\]
3 Night Watch [Exam]

a) Observe that the problem is symmetric, e.g., from all four corners, the situation looks the same, and the probability of being in a specific corner room is the same for all corners. The same holds for rooms at the border and for rooms in the middle. Thus, instead of using 16 states, we consider the following simplified Markov chain consisting of three states only:

The transition matrix $M$ is given as follows.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

To calculate the steady state probability, we have to calculate the eigenvector of $M$ to the eigenvalue 1, that is solve the equation

$$v \cdot M = v$$

for $v$ (Be careful to multiply $M$ from the right side). Intuitively this means that if we have a state distribution $v$ and applying the transition matrix does not change this distribution $v$, then $v$ is the steady state distribution.

For $v = (c, e, m)$, we get the following system of linear equations from the above equation.

$$c = \frac{1}{3} e \\ e = \frac{1}{3} e + \frac{1}{2} m + c \\ 1 = c + e + m$$

Solving this equation system gives: $c = \frac{1}{6}$. The probability of being in a specific corner is therefore $\frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{24}$.

b) Since the two walks are independent, we have – according to the inclusion-exclusion principle (Einschluss-Ausschluss-Verfahren) –

$$\frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} - \left( \frac{1}{24} \right)^2 \approx 0.082.$$