Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

DYNAMO

 $\mathrm{HS}\ 2024$

Prof. Dr. Lana Josipović and Jiahui Xu based on Prof. Dr. Lothar Thiele's material

Discrete Event Systems

Solution to Exercise Sheet 11

1 Set Representation

1.1 Set Operations and Characteristic Functions

- a) Characteristic function: $\psi_X = 1$.
- **b)** Set notation: $N \cup E = X$. Characteristic function: $\psi_N + \psi_E = 1$.
- c) Set notation: $N \cap O = \emptyset$. Characteristic function: $\psi_N \cdot \psi_O = 0$.
- d) Set notation: $Q_1 = E \setminus O$. Characteristic function: $\psi_{Q_1} = \psi_E \cdot \overline{\psi_O}$.
- e) Set notation: $Q_2 = (O \cap E) \cup \overline{O} = (O \cup \overline{O}) \cap (E \cup \overline{O})$ = $X \cap (E \cup \overline{O})$ = $E \cup \overline{O}$

Characteristic function: $\psi_{Q_2} = \psi_E + \overline{\psi_O}$.

1.2 Write Specifications in Boolean Encoding and Compose Them

- a) The specification for C1, C2 and C3 described using characteristic functions are the following:
 - $\mathbf{C1} : \psi_{C1} = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \to x_s \ \psi_{C1} = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)x_s + \overline{x_1} \cdot \overline{x_2} \cdot \overline{x_3} = x_s + \overline{x_1} \cdot \overline{x_2} \cdot \overline{x_3}.$
 - $\mathbf{C2} : \psi_{C2} = x_1 \cdot \overline{x_2} \cdot \overline{x_3} + \overline{x_1} \cdot x_2 \cdot \overline{x_3} + \overline{x_1} \cdot \overline{x_2} \cdot x_3 + \overline{x_1} \cdot \overline{x_2} \cdot \overline{x_3}.$
 - **C3** : $\psi_{C3} = x_b \rightarrow (x_s \cdot \overline{x_1} \cdot \overline{x_2} \cdot \overline{x_3}).$
 - $\psi_{C3} = x_b \cdot x_s \cdot \overline{x_1} \cdot \overline{x_2} \cdot \overline{x_3} + \overline{x_b} = x_s \cdot \overline{x_1} \cdot \overline{x_2} \cdot \overline{x_3} + \overline{x_b}.$
- b) The specification consists in satisfying all constraints at all times:

$$\psi_N = \psi_{C1} \cdot \psi_{C2} \cdot \psi_{C3}.$$

2 Sets of States and State Transitions

See solution in the written exam of HS2023.

3 Binary Decision Diagrams

3.1 Verifying the Equivalence of Combinational Circuits Using BDDs



The two ROBDDs have identical falls, therefore they are equivalent.



3.2 ROBDDs with Respect to Different Orderings

- **a)** $g = x_1 \Big\{ x_2 \big[y_1(y_2) + \overline{y_1}(0) \big] + \overline{x_2} [y_1(\overline{y_2}) + \overline{y_1}(0)] \Big\} + \overline{x_1} \Big\{ x_2 \big[y_1(0) + \overline{y_1}(y_2) \big] + \overline{x_2} \big[y_1(0) + \overline{y_1}(\overline{y_2}) \big] \Big\}$
- **b)** The ROBDD for g is the following:



c) Using the new ordering π' , the Boole-Shannon decomposition becomes

$$g = x_1 \Big\{ y_1 \big[x_2(y_2) + \overline{x_2}(\overline{y_2}) \big] + \overline{y_1}[0] \Big\} + \overline{x_1} \Big\{ y_1[0] + \overline{y_1} \big[x_2(y_2) + \overline{x_2}(\overline{y_2}) \big] \Big\}.$$

This is a better ordering as it leads to a ROBDD with fewer nodes with respect to π (6 instead of 9).

