

## Chapter 3

### Tree Algorithms

#### Section 3.1: Broadcast

**Definition 3.1** [Broadcast]: A broadcast operation is initiated by a single processor, the source. The source wants to send a message to all other nodes in the system.

**Definition 3.2** [Distance, Radius, Diameter]: The distance between two nodes  $u, v$  in an undirected graph is the number of hops of a minimum path between  $u$  and  $v$ . The radius of a node  $u$  in a graph is the maximum distance between  $u$  and any other node. The radius of a graph is the minimum radius of any node in the graph. The diameter of a graph is the maximum distance between two arbitrary nodes.

Remarks:

- The diameter is about twice the radius.
- Kevin Bacon, Paul Erdős, etc.

**Theorem 3.3** [Lower Bound]: The message complexity of a broadcast is at least  $n-1$ . The radius of the graph is a lower bound for the time complexity.

Proof: Every node must receive the message.

Remarks:

- You can use a pre-computed spanning tree to do the broadcast with tight message complexity.
- If the spanning tree is a breadth-first spanning tree (for a given source), then also the time complexity is tight.

**Definition 3.4** [Clean]: A graph (system/network) is clean if the nodes do not know the topology of the graph.

**Theorem 3.5** [Clean Lower Bound]: For a clean network, the number of edges is a lower bound for the broadcast message complexity.

Proof: If you do not try every edge, you might miss a whole part of the graph behind it.

**Algorithm 3.6** [Flooding]: The source sends the message to all neighbors. Each node receiving the message the first time forwards to all (other) neighbors.

Remarks:

- If node  $v$  receives the message first from node  $u$ , then node  $v$  calls node  $u$  “parent”. This parent relation defines a spanning tree  $T$ . If the flooding algorithm is executed in a synchronous system, then  $T$  is a breadth-first spanning tree (with respect to the root).

- More interestingly, also in asynchronous systems the flooding algorithm terminates after  $r$  time units, where  $r$  is the radius of the source. (But note that the constructed spanning tree needs not be breadth-first.)

### **Section 3.2: Convergecast**

(Broadcast: Termination detection)

(Broadcast with echo)

(Same as broadcast, just reverse)

**Algorithm 3.7** [Echo]: Leaves send an ACK back to their parent. If a node has received ACKs from all children (all but the parent neighbor), it sends an ACK to the parent node.

Remarks:

- Message complexity of Echo is  $n-1$ , but together with flooding still  $O(|E|)$ .
- Time complexity = radius (depth) of the spanning tree of the flooding algorithm.
- Very important remark: The flooding/echo (or broadcast/echo) algorithm can do much more than just collecting ACKS:
  - Example 1: Compute sum of values stored at nodes in the system.
  - Example 2: Find the maximum identifier for leader election. Root?!?
  - Example 3: Compute a route-disjoint matching.
- How does one compute a breadth-first tree in the asynchronous model?

### **Section 3.3: BFS Tree Construction**

(Flooding was good solution for synchronous system)

(Two basic sequential algorithms: Dijkstra & Bellman-Ford)

(Dijkstra: Always add closest new node → develop BFS tree layer by layer)

**Algorithm 3.8** [Dijkstra BFS tree]: The algorithm proceeds in phases. In phase  $p$  the nodes with distance  $p$  to the root are detected.  $T_p$  is the tree in phase  $p$ . We start with  $T_1$  which is the root plus all direct neighbors of the root. Each phase is as follows:

- The root starts phase  $p$  by broadcasting “start  $p$ ” within  $T_p$ .
- When receiving “start  $p$ ” a “new leaf” node  $u$  of  $T_p$  (“new leaf” = a node that was newly discovered in the last phase) sends a “join  $p+1$ ” message to all quiet neighbors. (A neighbor  $v$  is quiet if  $u$  has not yet received a message from  $v$ .)
- A node  $v$  receiving the first “join  $p+1$ ” message replies with “ack” and becomes a leaf of the tree  $T_{p+1}$ .
- A node  $v$  receiving any further “join” message replies with “nack”.
- The leaves of  $T_p$  collect all the answers of their neighbors; then the leaves start the echo algorithm back to the root.
- When the echo is terminated at the root, the root starts phase  $p+1$ , unless there was no new node detected.

**Theorem 3.9** [Analysis of Algorithm 3.8]: The time complexity of Algorithm 3.8 is  $O(D^2)$ , the message complexity is  $O(|E|+nD)$ , where  $D$  is the diameter of the graph.

Proof: The broadcast & echo algorithm in  $T_p$  needs at most time  $2D$ . Finding new neighbors at the leaves costs time 2. Since the BFS tree height is bounded by the diameter we have  $D$  phases, giving a total time complexity of  $O(D^2)$ . Each node participating in broadcast & echo only receives (broadcast) at most 1 message and sends (echo) at most 1. Since there are  $D$  phases, the cost is bounded by  $O(nD)$ . On each edge there are at most 2 “join” messages. Replies to a “join” request are answered by 1 “ack” or “nack”, which means that we have at most 4 additional messages per edge. Therefore the message complexity is  $O(|E|+nD)$ .

(Bellman-Ford: Simply flood the network with a number-of-hops counter in each message)

**Algorithm 3.10** [Bellman-Ford BFS tree]: Use a variant of the flooding algorithm. Each node and each message store an integer which corresponds to the distance from the root. The root stores 0, every other node initially  $\infty$ . The root starts the flooding algorithm by sending a message “1” to all neighbors.

- A node  $u$  with integer  $x$  receives a message “ $y$ ” from a neighbor  $v$ : if  $y < x$  then node  $u$  stores  $y$  (instead of  $x$ ) and sends “ $y+1$ ” to all neighbors (except  $v$ ).

**Theorem 3.11** [Analysis of Algorithm 3.10]: The time complexity of Algorithm 3.10 is  $O(D)$ , the message complexity is  $O(n|E|)$ , where  $D$  is the diameter of the graph.

Proof: We can prove the time complexity by induction. We claim that a node at distance  $d$  from the root has received a message “ $d$ ” by time  $d$ . The root knows by time 0 that it is the root. A node  $v$  at distance  $d$  has a neighbor  $u$  at distance  $d-1$ . Node  $u$  by induction sends a message “ $d$ ” to  $v$  at time  $d-1$  or before, which is then received by  $v$  at time  $d$  or before. Message complexity is easier: A node can reduce its integer at most  $n-1$  times; each of these times it sends a message to all its neighbors. If all nodes do this we have  $O(n|E|)$  messages.

Remarks:

- There are graphs and executions that produce  $O(n|E|)$  messages.
- How does the algorithm terminate?
- Algorithm 3.8 has the better message complexity; algorithm 3.10 has the better time complexity. The currently best known algorithm has message complexity  $O(|E|+n \log^3 n)$  and time complexity  $O(D \log^3 n)$ .
- How do we find the root?!? Leader election in an arbitrary graph: FloodMax algorithm. Termination? Idea: Each node that believes to be the “max” builds a spanning tree... (More for example in Chapter 15 of Nancy Lynch “Distributed Algorithms”)