Chapter 5 addendum Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol

Computer Networks Timothy Roscoe Summer 2007

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

How do I find my IP Address?

- Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP)
 - RFC 2131
 - Surprisingly complex (45 pages!)
 - Surprisingly flexible (lots of configuration & reconfiguration)
- Required client can still be very small
 - No TCP required (and not much UDP/IP)
- Basic idea:
 - One or more configuration servers
 - Client broadcasts MAC address, Servers all reply
 - Client chooses a configuration (lease)
 - Periodically renewed (soft state)

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

DHCP message format (one size fits all!)

BootRequest /	Operation	HType	HLen	Hops	
Boolkepiy (!)	Transaction identifier				
Plank or filled in by	Secs		Fla	Flags	
blank of filled in by	Client IP Address				
client of server	"Your" IP Address				
Message type is a (required) option!	Server IP Address				
	Gateway (relay) IP Address				
	Client hardware address				
	Server host name				
	Boot file name				
	Options				

DHCP in operation: getting an IP address

- 1. Client broadcasts DHCPDISCOVER on physical network (using UDP!)
- 2. Server unicasts DHCPOFFER to client with possible address (and other configuration info)
- 3. Client sends DHCPREQUEST asking for configuration
- 4. Server sends DHCPACK granting the address
- Extra options can specify e.g.:
 - DNS resolver, DNS name
 - Routing gateway/subnet

Best illustrated by example...

DHCP Relaying (really remote booting)



Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

EITH Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Chapter 7 TUNNELS, OVERLAYS and P2P

Computer Networks Timothy Roscoe Summer 2007

Wider class of "bootstrapping protocols"

- Things to ponder:
 - Why two phases (DISCOVER and REQUEST)?
 - Is this a link, networking, or application level protocol?
- General problem: how do I find out what I need to communicate?
 - C.f. ARP
 - Such protocols often break layering models

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Tunnelling: the basic idea (from Tanenbaum)



inf Informatik Computer Science

Tunnelling: the basic idea



- Tunnelling protocol:
 - Encapsulates packets (link, network, transport)
 - Runs over another protocol (network, transport, application)
- Seen examples already...

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Tunnelling: a few examples

Protocol below

		Network	Transport	Application
Protocol above	Application		SOCKS SSL/TLS	
	Transport	IPsec Transport	SSH tunnels	HTTP CONNECT
	Network	MPLS GRE IPIP IPsec Tunnel	L2TP PPTP	IP over DNS
	Link	LANE		

Informatik Computer Science Also: layers are approximate!

Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Tunnelling: Why?

- Many uses! A few examples:
 - Personal routing / NAT avoidance
 - Mitigating DDoS attacks
 - Traffic aggregation and management
 - Security
 - Mobility

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

ssh tunnels (port forwarding)

• Accept connections to local host port 8080 and forward them through cixous.inf.ethz.ch to netos-int.inf.ethz.ch port 80

 Accept connections to port 4321 on cixous.inf.ethz.ch and forward them back through local host to port 9000 on slimserver.home-net.org

MPLS: Multiprotocol Label Switching

• 32-bit header (over IP at least):



- label
 - used to match packet to LSP
- experimental bits
 - carries packet queuing priority (CoS)
- stacking bit: can build "stacks" of labels
 - qoal: nested tunnels!
- time to live
 - copied from IP TTL

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

MPLS: Label switching

- Labels are local to a link, remapped at LSRs
 - "Label switching routers"
 - Looks a lot like virtual circuits
- Labels distributed via IP routing protocols, and LDPs.
- MPLS is about:
 - Aggregation
 - Traffic engineering
 - Virtual networks (see later)



DDoS mitigation



inf Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

DDoS mitigation



DDoS mitigation



Mobility

- Problem:
 - When computer moves, address has to change (why?)
- Solution: computer has two addresses!
 - Locally acquired one (e.g. WiFi in coffee shop)
 - Semi-permanent (acquired from "home" or provider)
- IP traffic on semi-permanent address tunnelled to provider over local interface (using PPTP)
- MobileIP doesn't use tunnelling (much); but this method is becoming more popular (why?)

IPsec: ESP (transport and tunnel modes)



- Security algorithm-independent
 - Header is just two words:
 - "security parameters index" and sequence number
- HMAC is at the end of the packet (why?)
 - And why the padding?

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Tunnel with care ...

- Complicates routing
 - Adding additional "links" to a network
 - Statically routed \Rightarrow suboptimal (ignores routing protocol)
 - Dynamically routed \Rightarrow routing protocol doesn't know it's a tunnel
 - Encapsulation can lead to routing pathologies
- Complicates management / provisioning
 - Unexpected traffic patterns (loops?)
 - Traffic is now "opaque" to the carrier
- Complicates forwarding (for IP)
 - Packets require "shim" header for encapsulation \Rightarrow reduced MTU, or fragmentation, or loss of framing...

Virtual private networks

- · Idea: use tunnels as link layers
- ⇒ Can build private IP network over tunnels over public IP network.
- ISPs sell VPN services to large customers
 - Router support makes this easy (though complex)
 - Probably pays for the Internet...
- Typically IP over IP tunnels
 - GRE, IPIP, PPTP, AYIYA...
- VPNs are the "respectable" (to the carriers) face of a more general calls of *Overlay Networks*.

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Overlay Networks

- To deploy and exploit overlay networks effectively, need lots of Points of Presence
- If you're not a large company, this is a problem
 See PlanetLab discussion next week...
- Time for a detour: Peer-to-Peer Networks and Applications

Overlay Networks

- Observation:
 - Can use IP connections as tunnels for other protocols
 - Including IP
 - If you can establish enough "points of presence", you can run your own network!
 - Routing protocols, addressing, etc.
 - Best effort, of course...
- Examples:
 - Content distribution networks
 - Application-layer multicast
 - Anonymizers (Tor)
 - RON (Resilient Overlay Networks)
 - Better than IP, over IP!

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Peer-to-Peer Networks

- What is Peer-to-Peer?
- Unstructured P2P systems
- Structured P2P overlays (DHTs)
 - Linear hashing
 - Consistent hashing
 - Distributed tree lookups
 - An early DHT in practice: Chord

"Peer-to-Peer" is ...

- Software: Gnutella, Tor, Freenet, BitTorrent, Skype...
- Very large overlay networks (millions of nodes)
- 80% of traffic on the Internet by volume.
- File "sharing"
 - Legal issues, RIAA
- Direct data exchange between clients

...a socio-cultural phenomenon!

"Peer-to-Peer" is also ...

- A hot research area: Chord, Pastry, ...
- A paradigm beyond Client/Server
- Dynamics (frequent joins and leaves)
- Fault tolerance
- Scalability
- Dictionary lookup, flat addressing, ... and more!
- A fusion of distributed systems and networking
- ... a new networking philosophy/technology!

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Why did it happen?

- Music sharing
 - Music (etc.) suddenly became "non-physical"
 - Bandwidth became adequate
- Decentralized systems
 - Fault-tolerance
 - Self-organization
- Avoiding ISP control
 - Akamai and other CDNs
- Getting past the Internet service model
 - Anonymization services,
 - Overlays

Computer Science

inf Informatik

- Addressing schemes
- ...and probably many more factors

Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Client/Server





Client/Server Problems

- Scalability
 - Can server serve 100, 1'000, 10'000 clients?
 - What's the cost?
- Security / Denial-of-Service
 - Servers attract hackers
- Replication
 - Replicating for security
 - Replicating close to clients ("caching")

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Case Study: Napster



Case Study: Gnutella



Pros/Cons Gnutella

- totally decentralized
- totally inefficient
 - "flooding" = directionless searching
- · Gnutella often does not find searched item
 - TTL
 - Gnutella "not correct"



Structured P2P Networks

- Gnutella is an example of an "Unstructured P2P Network"
 - Viewed as a network, links have no structure or meaning
- Alternative: build overlay links to make searching more efficient
 - "Content-based routing": route a message to any object
 - Avoid any central coordination
 - Nodes can join and leave at any time
- Term: "Distributed Hash Table" or DHT
 - Implements hash function: $h(object) \rightarrow machine$

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Linear Hashing

- Problem: More and more objects should be stored; need to buy new machines!
- Example: From 4 to 5 machines



Distributed Hashing



Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Not quite happy yet ...

- Problem with both linear and consistent hashing is that all the participants of the system must know all peers...
- Number one challenge: Dynamics!
 - Peers join and leave
 - Population is never up to date
 - As network scales, state at each node scales
 - As network scales, maintenance traffic explodes

Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Dynamics

- Machines (peers) are unreliable
 - Joins; worse: spontaneous leaves!



- Decentralized ("symmetric") System
 - scalable, fault tolerant, dynamic

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

P2P Dictionary = Hashing



001x 0001x

Inf

Informatik Computer Science

• Remark: Instead of storing a document at the right peer, just store a forward-pointer

100x

101x

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

DHT as a search tree



But who stores search tree?

- In particular, where is the root stored?
 - Root is scalability & fault tolerance problem
 - There is no root...!
- If a peer wants to store/search, how does it know where to go?
 - Does every peer know all others?
 - Dynamics! If a peer leaves, all peers must be notified. Too much overhead
 - Idea: Every peer only knows subset of others

Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

The Neighbors of Peer 001x



P2P Dictionary: Search

inf Informatik Computer Science



P2P Dictionary: Search



P2P Dictionary: Search



Again: 001 searches 100



Od searches 100 (continued)

Search Analysis

- We have n peers in system
- Assume that "tree" is roughly balanced
 - Leaves (peers) on level log₂ n ± constant
- Search has O(log n) steps
 - After k'th step, you are in subtree on level k
 - A "step" is a UDP (or TCP) message
 - Latency is dependent on P2P size (world!)

Peer Join

- Part 1: Bootstrap
- In order to join a P2P system, a joiner must already know a peer already in system. Typical solutions are
 - Ask a central authority for a list of IP addresses that have been in the P2P regularly; look up a listing on a web site
 - Try some of those you met last time
 - Just ping randomly (in the LAN)
- Part 2: Find your place in P2P system

Inf Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

2. Find your place

- The random method: Choose a random bit string (which determines the place)
- Search* for the bit string
- Split with the current leaf responsible for the bit string
- Search* for your neighbors
 - * These are standard searches

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Example: Bootstrap with 001 peer



Joiner searches 100101...





Random Join Discussion

- If tree is balanced, the time to join is
 - O(log n) for the first part
 - $O(\log n) \le O(\log n) = O(\log^2 n)$ for the second part
- It is believed that since all the peers are chosen their position randomly, the tree will more or less be balanced.
 - However, theory and simulations show that this is widely believed but not really true.



Leave

- Since a leave might be spontaneous, it must be detected first. Naturally this is done by the neighbors in the P2P system (all peers periodically ping neighbors).
- If a peer that left was detected, it must be replaced. If peer had sibling leaf, the sibling might just do a "reverse split."
- If not, search recursively... example!

Chord

- The most cited "real" system by Ion Stoica, Robert Morris, David Karger, M. Frans Kaashoek, and Hari Balakrishnan, MIT, presented at ACM SIGCOMM 2001.
- Most discussed system in distributed systems and networking books, for example in Edition 4 of Tanenbaum's Computer Networks.
- Among other advantages, it's the easiest to draw.
- There are extensions on top of it, such as CFS, Ivy

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Building up Chord in stages

- Each node has an ID
 _ 160-bit SHA of IP address
- Nodes arranged in a ring

 Each node has successor pointer
- "Documents" stored at "successor" node
 - Hash each object, store at node with next highest ID

nf Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Lookup in a simple ring

- Suppose a node (e.g 13) wants a document whose hash is 24
- Successively walk around the ring until you reach node whose successor is >24
 - In this case 18
 Note: arithmetic must be module 2^160!
- This is where the document should be.
- This sucks: performance is linear in the number of nodes - We'll fix this in a few slides



Problem: the ring is fragile

- Failure of a node breaks the ring
- Solution: each node maintains a successor set of size n
 - n is ~log(#nodes)
- Also: predecessor pointer
 (not shown)





Problem: Joins and leaves

- · Joins as before:
 - Look up your own ID's successor
 - Contact for successors and predecessors
- · Leaves:
 - Ping successors regularly
 - Always ensure *n* live nodes in successor set
- Note: treat failures as normal!

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Why these are (in some sense) the same

- · Finger tables are the key part of Chord
 - Provides O(log n) hop routing
- If you're very clever, you've realized by now that this is very similar to the previous discussion
 - A "perfect" Chord network is a forest of binomial trees rooted at each key







Problem: that performance problem

- Nodes maintain a finger table
 - Log(n) entries
 - Each 2^k away in ID space
- Obtained by lookup
- Continous maintenance process (again)
- New routing/lookup algorithm:
 - If succ(key) ∈ successor set return succ(key) else fwd to highest finger < key



nf Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Notice what else we've done:

- Not just about finding that obscure "Godspeed!" bootleg...
- We have a routing protocol that works on "flat" 160-bit (or any) identifiers!
 - Recall that IP routing is hierarchical
- We can efficiently construct trees rooted at any node
 - Multicast
 - Aggregation
- Two players can "rendezvous" in the network by hashing a shared value
 - Many applications beyond content

Many many others ...

- Original theory work by Plaxton, Rajaraman, and Richa on finding replicas in a multiprocessor inspired most early DHTs (e.g. Pastry, CAN, Tapestry, or Kademlia).
- Some proposals improve the design;
 - Viceroy (butterfly graph) and Koorde (DeBruijna graph) use constant number of neighbours per peer
 - Symphony allows random links and addresses the "ID distribution" issue
 - Accordion has a dynamically varying routing table size
 - SkipNet uses skiplists and allows organizational boundaries to be represented
 - Bamboo adapts join and leave algorithms for high churn resilience
 - OpenDHT (over Bamboo) is a public DHT service for shared use

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Structured vs. Unstructured

- Unstructured:
 - Out there! Heavily deployed...
 - Slow, but very resilient
 - For music sharing, users don't care...
 - Some structure appears (e.g. SuperPeers)
 - Hard to subvert (random structure)
- Structured:
 - Efficient for lookups O(log n) hops
 - Fast
 - Well-defined routing semantics
 - Challenge in handling churn
 - Adaptivity to slow links/nodes
 - Overengineered academic plaything?

Informatik Computer Science Networks & Operating Systems Computer Networks T. Roscoe

Reality: recent systems are hybrid

- Recent "small world" graph theory results suggest you can relax the structure a lot and still obtain good performance
- Deployed systems use unstructured links plus a DHT for indexing
 - eMule / eDonkey (based on Kademlia DHT)
 - Trackerless BitTorrent
- Increasingly proposed for "real" applications...

Next week (if all goes well):

Roger and Timothy present:

"some cool stuff we're working on"